UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR       | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/568,686      | 10/05/2007   | Davide Sarchi              | 09877.0373          | 2771             |
|                 | 7590 04/09/201<br>ENDERSON, FARAE                    | 0<br>BOW, GARRETT & DUNNER | EXAMINER            |                  |
| LLP             |  |                            | DEHGHAN, QUEENIE S  |                  |
|                 | 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 |                            | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                 |  |                            | 1791                |                  |
|                 |  |                            |                     |                  |
|                 |  |                            | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                 |  |                            | 04/09/2010          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/568,686  | SARCHI ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | QUEENIE DEHGHAN   | 1791   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 F 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under   | s action is non-final.<br>ance except for formal matters, pro   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.   | awn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.  re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/06, 2/27/08.  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  | ate  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1791

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 13-16, 18-19 and 21-22 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 13 recites the limitation "the extension" in lines 3 and 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 12-13, 17-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al. (6,876,804). Regarding claims 12 and 17, Chen discloses a process for producing low polarization mode dispersion (PMD) optical fiber comprising the steps of drawing an optical fiber from a glass preform and imparting to the fiber during drawing a spin about its axis with inversions of the spin direction, wherein the

Art Unit: 1791

number of inversions in a length of fiber of 25 meters being at most two, as indicated by a spin period of greater than 30 meters (col. 2 lines 46-65, figure 3).

- 6. Regarding claims 13 and 22, Chen discloses imparting the spin according to a bidirectional spin function that is trapezoidal including zones of substantially constant amplitude and zones of transition where the inversion takes place, wherein the extension of the zones of substantially constant amplitude is greater than the extension of the zones of transition (figure 7, col. 7 lines 39-61).
- 7. Regarding claims 18 and 19, Chen discloses a peak amplitude of the bidirectional spin function is between 2 turns/m to 5 turns/m. More specifically, according to figure 7 and example 1, the peak amplitude is about 2.7 turns/m (col. 9 lines 26-27).
- 8. Regarding claim 20, Chen discloses in figure 7, the distance between two consecutive inversions is about 10m, which is less than 15m.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,686

Art Unit: 1791

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 4

- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 12. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (6,876,804), as applied to claim 12 above in view of Sasaoka et al. (2003/0010066). Chen discloses the spin is imparted can take on various shapes and spin functions with shorter or longer spin periods (col. 7 lines 47-51). Sasaoka teaches examples of trapezoidal spin functions including one with a symmetrical spin period (figure 6a) and one with a non-periodic spin function that are well known in the art (figure 6c, [0070], [0074]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed any of the well known spin functions, periodic or non-periodic, in the process of Chen to achieve the desired reduction in PMD.
- 13. Claims 15-16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen (6,876,804), as applied to claim 13 above in view of Henderson et al. (6,240,748). Chen discloses spin functions of various shapes can be employed (col. 7 lines 47-49). Henderson teaches a well known periodic spin function in the art with a

Art Unit: 1791

substantially constant amplitude zone that is square shaped (figure 11a), which has a transition zone that is instantaneous. An instantaneous transition zone essentially has a distance that is clearly lower than 10% of the distance of substantially constant amplitude zone preceding it, as can be seen in figure 11a. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed any of the well known spin functions, trapezoidal or square, in the process of Chen to achieve the desired reduction in PMD.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to QUEENIE DEHGHAN whose telephone number is (571)272-8209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Queenie Dehghan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791